

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/782,235	02/12/2001	Eric Edwards	50P3833.01	9352	
7590 03/12/2004		EXAMINER			
Intellectual Property Department			KASSA, YOSEF		
Sony Electronics Inc. 123 Tice Boulevard - MD T1-1			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Woodcliff Lake, NJ 07675			2625		
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/782,23	35	EDWARDS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	r	Art Unit				
	YOSEF K	(ASSA	2625				
The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. s0) days, a reply within the stat atutory period will apply and w will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) daysill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from blication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this col D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
3) Since this application is in condition	2b)⊠ This action is n for allowance except	non-final. for formal matters, pro		merits is			
closed in accordance with the practi	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from co						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the specification is objected to by the specific transfer of tran	a) accepted or b) ction to the drawing(s) b g the correction is requir	pe held in abeyance. See red if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	•	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/782,235

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noyama et al (U.S. Patent 5,594,850), and further in view of Olsen, IV (U.S. Patent 6,429,881).

With regard to claim 1, Noyama et al discloses an effects source for applying an effect, i.e., geometrical transform, onto an image (see col. 4, lines 25-30) and which defines a masked portion that prevents i.e., surface normal, the effects source from applying the effect onto the masked portion of the image (see col. 5, lines 14-23).

Noyama et al did not explicitly call for translucent masking tool. However, at the same field of endeavor Olsen, IV discloses this feature (see Fig. 4, items 104 and 108). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of Olsen, IV transparency mask process into Noyama et al image masking process. The motivation for doing so is to determine the transparency of the portion of the graphic element to display the graphic elements over a background.

Application/Control Number: 09/782,235

Art Unit: 2625

With regard to claim 2, Noyama et al discloses the translucent masking tool has a customizable shape (see Fig. 1 item 23 and Fig. 3, item 22).

With regard to claim 3, Noyama et al discloses the translucent masking tool is movable relative to the image (see Fig. 1 items 32 and 50).

With regard to claim 4, Noyama et al discloses the translucent masking tool simulates a fixed edge (see col. 7, lines 15-27).

With regard to claim 5, Noyama et al discloses the masked portion of the image is denoted by cross-hatching while the masked portion of the image is still viewable by a user (see col. 9, lines 59-67).

With regard to claim 6, Noyama et al discloses masked portion of the image is denoted by shading while the masked portion of the image is still viewable by a user (see col. 5, lines 8-13).

Claim 7 is similarly analyzed as claim 1. The additional limitation of positioning a masking tool over a masked portion of an image and dynamically moving the masking tool (see col. 7, lines 4-14 and Fig. 7a-7d).

Claims 9-13 are similarly analyzed as claims 1-6.

Other Prior Art Cited

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent No. (6,485,869) to Tsai et al discloses photo mask frame modification to eliminate process...





Art Unit: 2625

US Patent No. (6,300,955) to Zamir discloses method and system for mask generation.

US Patent No. (5,920,659) to Iverson et al discloses method and apparatus for scaling image data having associated transparency data.

US Patent No. (5,533,185) to Lentz et al discloses pixel modification unit for use as a functional unit in a super scalar microprocessor.

US Patent No. (5,459,589) to nagasato et al discloses image processing system provided with image enchasing and synthesizing function.

Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YOSEF KASSA whose telephone number is (703) 306-5918. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, BHAVESH MEHTA can be reached on (703) 308-5246. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for regular communication and (703) 872-9306 for after Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/782,235

Art Unit: 2625

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the customer service office whose telephone number is (703) 306-5631. The group receptionist number for TC 2600 is (703) 305-4700.

PATENT EXAMINER

Yosef Kassa

03/02/04.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600